**DEAR REVIEWER**

The Revista Colombiana de Entomologia (Colombian Journal of Entomology) appreciates your willingness to perform this review. We hope that your review will have a critical approach to science and will focus on recognizing the originality and relevance of the manuscript, the scientific rigor of the methods used in the study, the validity of the results, the content of the discussion, as well as the impact of the study and bibliographic support. Reviewers are expected to be impartial and objective, not to discuss the content of the text in other venues until the manuscript is published, to avoid contacting authors directly during the peer review process, to declare any conflict of interest, and to avoid any form of identity theft.

**Other recommendations:**

* See to it that your evaluation is constructive, that your contributions to the improvement of the manuscript are objective and unbiased.
* Evaluate the manuscript as you would want one of your own to be evaluated.
* The language you use should be clear, concrete and respectful, avoiding bias.
* Inform the editor if you perceive any malpractice in the handling of data and development of the article.
* Inform the journal in case it is not possible to comply with the agreed dates, since delays in the process may affect the loss of validity of the results.
* Fill out the forms requested by the journal and, if necessary, make comments on the text.
* Keep the confidentiality of the work being evaluated and, of course, do not use the information of the manuscript.

**TITLE OF THE ARTICLE:**

1. Does the title of the manuscript correspond to the objective and the contents developed in it?
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2. Is the abstract/abstract structured with an initial sentence of context, the objective, methodology, discussion, results and conclusions in a concrete manner?
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3. Is the introduction of the manuscript supported by a relevant, sufficient and up-to-date literature review of the topics developed in the manuscript?
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**Observations:**

4. Does the materials and methods section present (if applicable) a design and statistical processes raised from the scientific rigor?

YES\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_

**Observations:**

5. Are the results of the manuscript consistent with the objective of the manuscript and are they clearly given?

YES\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_

**Observations:**

6. Does the discussion clearly and concretely contrast the results presented in the manuscript with other relevant studies on the topic?

YES\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_
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7. Is there congruence between the development of the manuscript and the conclusions raised?

YES\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_
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8. Is it possible to reproduce the experimental part from its description in the article?

YES\_\_\_\_ NO\_\_\_\_
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9. According to your criteria the evaluated article should be:

Accept submission \_\_\_\_

Accept but with modifications \_\_\_\_

Revisions required \_\_\_\_

Not approved \_\_\_\_

General comments that support rejection if this is the verdict.
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willing to review the article again?
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